arXiv:math/0502153v1 [math.GR] 8 Feb 2005

ON THE ISOMORPHISM OF SOME ONE-RELATOR GROUPS

A. V. BORSCHEV, D. I. MOLDAVANSKII

Abstract. A class of one-relator groups such that every group in the class is determined by a triple of integers and is an HNN-extension of some Baumslag – Solitar group is considered. A criterion for two groups in this class to be isomorphic and criterion for two non-isomorphic groups to be a homomorphic image of each other are obtained. This result leads to a negative answer to the question 3.33 from "Kourovka Notebook".

In the present paper we consider one-relator groups of form

$$G(l,m;k)=\langle a,t;\ t^{-1}a^{-k}ta^{l}t^{-1}a^{k}t=a^{m}\rangle,$$

where l, m and k are arbitrary nonzero integers. Investigation of some properties of these groups was undertaken by A. M. Brunner [1] who observed, in particular, that any such group is isomorphic to some group $G(l,m;k)$ where integers l, m and k satisfy the conditions $k>0$ and $|l|\geqslant m>0$ (and these conditions will be assumed in what follows). However, earlier, in 1969, these groups have appeared in the G. Baumslag's paper [2] where it was proved that any finite image of group $G(2,1;1)$ must be cyclic (and thus the most impressive example of one-relator group which is not residually finite was given).

Later on the results of Brunner were supplemented in [3]: in the case $|l|>m$ the generators and defining relations of automorphism group of group $G(l,m;k)$ were given (in [1] this was done in the cases when either $m=1$ or m does not divide l), it was proved also that the group $G(l,m;k)$ is residually finite if and only if $|l|=m$ (in [1] the part "only if"was singled out) and it is non-Hopfian if and only if $|l|>m>1$, m divides both integers l and k and integers m and l/m are coprime (in [1] the part "if"was proved). Then, in [4] was shown that the group $G(l,m;k)$ is residually a finite p-groups if and only if $|l|=m=p^{r}$ and $k=p^{s}$ for some integers $r\geqslant 0$ and $s\geqslant 0$ and also if $l=-m$ then $p=2$ and $s\leqslant r$.

In this paper we investigate conditions for isomorphism of groups $G(l,m;k)$. Our main result is the following

Theorem. 1) *If the groups $G_1=G(l_1,m_1;k_1)$ and $G_2=G(l_2,m_2;k_2)$ are homomorphic images of each other and at least one of inequalities $|l_1|>m_1$ and $|l_2|>m_2$ is satisfied then $l_1=l_2$ and $m_1=m_2$.*

2) *If $|l|>m$ then the groups $G_1=G(l,m;k_1)$ and $G_2=G(l,m;k_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(2.1) *$k_1=k_2$;*

(2.2) *$m>1$, the integers k_1 and k_2 are divided by the greatest common divisor of l and m and $k_1/k_2=\pm(l/m)^{p}$ for some integer $p\neq 0$;*

(2.3) *$m=1$ and the quotient k_1/k_2 is an l-number.*

Typeset by AMS-TEX

3) *The groups $G_1 = G(l, m; k_1)$ and $G_2 = G(l, m; k_2)$ are homomorphic images of each other and are not isomorphic if and only if $|l| > m > 1$, m divides integers l, k_1 and k_2, integers $s = l/m$ and m are coprime and the quotient k_1/k_2 is an s-number not coinciding with any power (with integer exponent) of $\pm s$.*

(Here, as usually, the integer m is called an n-number if any prime divizor of m divides the integer n; the rational number will be called an n-number if numerator and denumerator of irreducible fraction representing it are n-numbers.)

The attempt to give the necessary and sufficient conditions for groups from class in question to be isomorphic was announced in [5]. Nevertheless, the theorem given there appears to be somewhat not exact and the item 2) of our Theorem can be considered as improving of it in the case when $|l_1| > m_1$ or $|l_2| > m_2$. The question on the conditions for groups G_1 and G_2 to be isomorphic in the remaining case $|l_1| = m_1$ and $|l_2| = m_2$ is still open.

Let us remark, however, that if groups G_1 and G_2 are homomorphic images of each other and, say, $|l_1| = m_1$, then group G_1, being residually finite, is Hopfian and therefore group G_2 must be isomorphic to G_1. Consequently group G_2 is residually finite and therefore $|l_2| = m_2$. If, in addition, we assume that $l_1 = -m_1$ then factorization by commutator subgroups gives $l_1 = l_2$ and $m_1 = m_2$. Thus the statement of item 1) of our Theorem is valid also in the case when at least for one value of $i = 1, 2$ the equation $l_i = -m_i$ is satisfied. As well we see that the satisfiability of inequality $|l| > m$ in the statement of item 3) in our Theorem is evident.

On the other hand, the corollary stated in [5] is valid. It gives the sufficient condition for non-isomorphic groups G_1 and G_2 to be homomorphic images of each other (necessary and sufficient condition is stated in item 3) of our Theorem). This leads, in turn, to the negative answer to the question 3.33 from [6]: Are two groups necessarily isomorphic if each of them may be defined by a single relation and is a homomorphic image of the other one? In fact, even the condition in [5] implies that groups $G(18, 2; 2)$ and $G(18, 2; 6)$ give counterexample, while the item 3) of our Theorem implies that this counterexample is in some sense minimal.

The proof of Theorem occupies the section 2 of the paper. Section 1 contains some required for the proof results that either are contained in papers [1] and [3] or are immediate consequences of them. We emphasize once more that everywhere below it is assumed without additional reminder that the integers l, m and k (with the same subscripts or without subscripts) do satisfy the inequalities $|l| > m > 0$ and $k > 0$ (the condition $|l| > m > 0$ is essential, in particular, in references to results in [1]).

1. Preliminaries

Following [1], add to the presentation $\langle a, t;\ t^{-1}a^{-k}ta^lt^{-1}a^kt = a^m\rangle$ of group $G(l, m; k)$ new generator b and defining relation $b = t^{-1}a^kt$. Resulting presentations

$$G(l, m; k) = \langle a, b, t;\ b^{-1}a^lb = a^m, t^{-1}a^kt = b\rangle$$

obviously shows that the group $G(l, m; k)$ is an HNN-extension with stable letter t of base group $H(l, m) = \langle a, b;\ b^{-1}a^lb = a^m\rangle$, where associated subgroups H^{-1} and H^1 are infinite cyclic with generators a^k and b respectively (all relevant definitions and assertions relative to construction of HNN-extension can be found in [7]). Any

group $H(l,m)$ (known as Baumslag – Solitar group) is, in turn, HNN-extension with stable letter b of infinite cyclic group generated by element a. Therefore it will be necessary to speak about t-length and t-reduced form and about b-length and b-reduced form of elements of group $G(l,m;k)$.

Symbol L will denote the normal closure in group $H(l,m)$ of element a. It is obvious that the group $H(l,m)$ is a split extension of subgroup L by infinite cyclic group generated by b and that element $h \in H(l,m)$ belongs to L if and only if the exponent sum on b in (any) word in a and b representing h is equal to 0. Let also $C(h)$ denote the centralizer in group $H(l,m)$ of element h.

When two groups of form $G(l,m;k)$ are considered simultaneously we will write $G_1 = G(l_1,m_1;k_1)$ and $G_2 = G(l_2,m_2;k_2)$ and will equip by corresponding subscripts generators a, b, t and subgroups $H = H(l,m)$, H^{-1}, H^1 and L.

Let us state now certain relevant properties of groups $H(l,m)$ and $G(l,m;k)$. The immediate induction on b-length of element h gives the following assertion which is a some refinement of Lemma 2.4 from [1]:

Proposition 1. *Let element $h \in H(l,m)$ be written in the form $h = b^p v$, where $v \in L$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $d = (l,m)$ be the greatest common divizor of integers l and m and $l = l_1 d$, $m = m_1 d$. The equality $h^{-1}a^r h = a^s$ is satisfied if and only if $v \in C(a^s)$ and either $r = s$ if $p = 0$, or $r = l_1^p dx$ and $s = m_1^p dx$ for some integer x if $p > 0$, or $r = m_1^{-p} dx$ and $s = l_1^{-p} dx$ for some integer x if $p < 0$.*

Proposition 2. (Lemma 2.3 from [1]) *Let $h \in H(l,m)$. If for some integer $n \neq 0$ element h^n is conjugate to element from subgroup generated by a or from subgroup generated by b then element h itself is conjugate to an element from the same subgroup.*

Proposition 3. *Let for some element $g \in G(l,m;k)$ and for some integer $n \neq 0$ the inclusion $g^{-1}a^n g \in H(l,m)$ be fulfilled. Then element $g^{-1}a^n g$ belongs to subgroup L if and only if element g belongs to subgroup $H(l,m)$. In addition, if $g \notin H(l,m)$ then elements g and $g^{-1}a^n g$ are of form $g = b^p utv$ and $g^{-1}a^n g = v^{-1}b^s v$, where $s \neq 0$ and p are some integers and u and v are some elements from L such that $u \in C(a^{ks})$ and $b^{-p}a^n b^p = a^{ks}$.*

Indeed, Lemma 2.5 of paper [1] implies that if element g such that $g^{-1}a^n g \in H(l,m)$ for some integer $n \neq 0$ does not belong to subgroup $H(l,m)$, then it is of form $g = wtv$ where $w \in H(l,m)$ and $v \in L$. Since the expression $v^{-1}t^{-1}w^{-1}a^n wtv$ of element $g^{-1}a^n g$ can not be t-reduced, we must have $w^{-1}a^n w = a^{ks}$ for some integer $s \neq 0$. Hence $g^{-1}a^n g = v^{-1}b^s v$ and since $s \neq 0$ this element does not belong to subgroup L. If now element w is represented in the form $w = b^p u$, where $u \in L$, then by Proposition 1 we have $u \in C(a^{ks})$ and therefore $b^{-p}a^n b^p = a^{ks}$.

An endomorphism φ of group $G(l,m;k)$ we shall call *special* if $a\varphi = a^r$ and $b\varphi = bg$ for some integer $r \neq 0$ and element $g \in L$. The number r will be named *exponent* of endomorphism φ. Lemma 3.1 in [1] asserts, in particular, that for any endomorphism ψ of $G(l,m;k)$ whose image is not cyclic there exists an inner automorphism τ of this group such that the product $\psi\tau$ is a special endomorphism. Furthermore, certain part of the proof in [1] of this Lemma gives the following

Proposition 4. *Let φ be a special endomorphism of the group $G(l,m;k)$ with exponent r. Then for some integer $p \geqslant 0$ the equality $rm^p = l^p$ holds and element $t\varphi$*

is of form $b^p utv$ where $u, v \in L$. Furthermore, $b\varphi = v^{-1}bv$ (and therefore element g from the definition above of special endomorphism is equal to commutator $[b, v]$).

Proposition 5. 1) *Every special endomorphism of group $G(l, m; k)$ with exponent $r = 1$ is injective.*

2) *If the group $G(l, m; k)$ has a special endomorphism with exponent $r \neq \pm 1$ then m divides both integers l and k.*

3) *If $m > 1$ and a special endomorphism with exponent $r \neq \pm 1$ is surjective then it is not injective.*

Assertion 1) of this Proposition is contained in Proposition 3.2 from paper [3] and assertions 2) and 3) coincide respectively with Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 from the same paper.

2. The proof of Theorem

Let the groups $G_1 = G(l_1, m_1, k_1)$ and $G_2 = G(l_2, m_2, k_2)$ be homomorphic images of each other and let φ be a surjective homomorphism of G_1 onto G_2. Then in group G_2 must be satisfied the equality

$$(b_1\varphi)^{-1}(a_1\varphi)^{l_1}(b_1\varphi) = (a_1\varphi)^{m_1}. \tag{1}$$

Since $|l_1| > m_1$, Collins' Lemma ([7], p. 185) implies that element $a_1\varphi$ must be conjugate to some element of subgroup H_2. Therefore multiplying the mapping φ by suitable inner automorphism of G_2 permits to assume without loss of generality that $a_1\varphi \in H_2$. We want show now that, in fact, it may be even assumed (again after multiplying φ by suitable inner automorphism of G_2) that for some integer $r_1 \neq 0$ the equality

$$a_1\varphi = a_2^{r_1} \tag{2}$$

holds in group G_2 and that, moreover, $b_1\varphi \in H_2$.

Indeed, if for mapping φ the inclusion $b_1\varphi \in H_2$ is already satisfied then the equality (1) and Collins' Lemma (applied to the group H_2) imply that element $a_1\varphi$ is conjugate in H_2 to some power of element a_2.

But if t_2-length of element $b_1\varphi$ is positive then the equality (1) and Britton's Lemma imply that element $(a_1\varphi)^{l_1}$ is conjugate in H_2 to some element of subgroup H_2^{-1} or of subgroup H_2^1. By Proposition 2 then element $a_1\varphi$ is conjugate to some power of element a_2 or to some element of subgroup H_2^1. Since subgroups H_2^{-1} and H_2^1 are conjugate in group G_2, the existence of integer r_1 satisfying the equality (2) is proved in this case too. In addition, since the mapping φ is surjective and group G_2 is non-cyclic, we have $r_1 \neq 0$. Finally, since by (1) we have now the inclusion $(b_1\varphi)^{-1}a_2^{r_1 l_1}(b_1\varphi) \in L_2$, Proposition 3 implies that $b_1\varphi \in H_2$.

Now since elements $a_1\varphi$ and $b_1\varphi$ are in subgroup H_2 and homomorphism φ is surjective, element $t_1\varphi$ is not contained in H_2. Furthermore, the relation

$$(t_1\varphi)^{-1}(a_1\varphi)^{k_1}(t_1\varphi) = b_1\varphi$$

satisfied in group G_2 now takes the form $(t_1\varphi)^{-1}a_2^{r_1 k_1}(t_1\varphi) = b_1\varphi$ and therefore Proposition 3 implies that elements $t_1\varphi$ and $(t_1\varphi)^{-1}a_2^{r_1 k_1}(t_1\varphi)$ are of form $b_2^{p_1}u_1t_2v_1$ and $v_1^{-1}b_2^{s_1}v_1$ respectively for some integers $s_1 \neq 0$ and p_1 and for some elements u_1 and v_1 from subgroup L_2 such that $u_1 \in C(a_2^{k_2 s_1})$ and

$$b_2^{-p_1}a_2^{r_1 k_1}b_2^{p_1} = a_2^{k_2 s_1}. \tag{3}$$

Consequently $b_1\varphi = v_1^{-1}b_2^{s_1}v_1$ and relation (1) takes the form

$$v_1^{-1}b_2^{-s_1}v_1a_2^{r_1l_1}v_1^{-1}b_2^{s_1}v_1 = a_2^{r_1m_1}. \tag{4}$$

Now Proposition 1 (taking into account inequalities $|l_i| > m_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$) gives that $s_1 > 0$ and for suitable integer x equalities

$$r_1l_1 = l_{21}^{s_1}d_2x, \qquad r_1m_1 = m_{21}^{s_1}d_2x, \tag{5}$$

are satisfied where $d_2 = (l_2, m_2)$, $l_2 = l_{21}d_2$, $m_2 = m_{21}d_2$. Hence we have

$$l_1/m_1 = (l_2/m_2)^{s_1}. \tag{6}$$

The same arguments can be applied to any surjective homomorphism ψ of group G_2 onto G_1. In particular, we can assume that for some integers $r_2 \neq 0$ and $s_2 > 0$ and element $v_2 \in L_1$ the equalities $a_2\psi = a_1^{r_2}$ and $b_2\psi = v_2^{-1}b_1^{s_2}v_2$ are satisfied and that

$$l_2/m_2 = (l_1/m_1)^{s_2}. \tag{7}$$

Equalities (6) and (7) implies that $l_1/m_1 = (l_1/m_1)^{s_1s_2}$ and since $|l_1| > m_1$ we obtain $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ and $l_1/m_1 = l_2/m_2$.

As a result of these arguments we have the

Lemma 1. *Let groups $G_1 = G(l_1, m_1, k_1)$ and $G_2 = G(l_2, m_2, k_2)$ be homomorphic images of each other. Then $l_1/m_1 = l_2/m_2$ and up to multipliers which are inner automorphisms of corresponding groups arbitrary surjective homomorphisms φ of group G_1 onto G_2 and ψ of group G_2 onto G_1 are such that $a_1\varphi = a_2^{r_1}$, $b_1\varphi = v_1^{-1}b_2v_1$ and $a_2\psi = a_1^{r_2}$, $b_2\psi = v_2^{-1}b_1v_2$ for some nonzero integers r_1 and r_2 and for some elements $v_1 \in L_2$ and $v_2 \in L_1$. In addition, for some integers p_1 and p_2 relations*

$$b_2^{-p_1}a_2^{r_1k_1}b_2^{p_1} = a_2^{k_2} \quad \textit{and} \quad b_1^{-p_2}a_1^{r_2k_2}b_1^{p_2} = a_1^{k_1} \tag{8}$$

$$v_1^{-1}b_2^{-1}v_1a_2^{r_1l_1}v_1^{-1}b_2v_1 = a_2^{r_1m_1} \quad \textit{and} \quad v_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}v_2a_1^{r_2l_2}v_2^{-1}b_1v_2 = a_1^{r_2m_2} \tag{9}$$

are satisfied and for some integers x, y equalities

$$r_1l_1 = l_2x, \quad r_1m_1 = m_2x \quad \textit{and} \quad r_2l_2 = l_1y, \quad r_2m_2 = m_1y \tag{10}$$

hold. Each of endomorphisms $\varphi\psi$ of group G_1 and $\psi\varphi$ of group G_2 is special with exponent r_1r_2 and therefore for some integer $p \geqslant 0$ equalities

$$r_1r_2m_1^p = l_1^p \quad \textit{and} \quad r_1r_2m_2^p = l_2^p. \tag{11}$$

are satisfied.

Since equalities (8), (9) and (10) follows from equalities (3), (4) and (5) (and from their analogs for mapping ψ), we must prove only the last assertion of Lemma 1. Let us show, for example, that the mapping $\varphi\psi$ is a special endomorphism of group G_1 with exponent r_1r_2. Indeed, the equality $a_1(\varphi\psi) = a_1^{r_1r_2}$ is evident and since $b_1\varphi = v_1^{-1}b_2v_1$ and $b_2\psi = v_2^{-1}b_1v_2$ where $v_1 \in L_2$ and $v_2 \in L_1$, we have

$$b_1(\varphi\psi) = (v_1^{-1}b_2v_1)\psi = (v_2(v_1\psi))^{-1}b_1(v_2(v_1\psi)) = b_1g,$$

where $g = [b_1, v_2(v_1\psi)] \in L_1$. The existence of integer $p \geqslant 0$ satisfying the equalities (11) follows now from Proposition 4 and from equality $l_1/m_1 = l_2/m_2$.

In the following three Lemmas will be assumed that φ and ψ are surjective homomorphism of group G_1 onto G_2 and of group G_2 onto G_1 respectively having form indicated in Lemma 1 and also will be used corresponding notations and relations from this Lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $|r_1 r_2| = 1$. Then $l_1 = l_2$, $m_1 = m_2$ and if $k_1 \neq k_2$, then integers k_1 and k_2 are divided by the greatest common divizor of l_1 and m_1 and $k_1/k_2 = \pm(l_1/m_1)^q$ for some integer $q \neq 0$.*

Indeed, since $r_1 = \pm 1$, from equalities (10) it follows, in particular, that $\pm m_1 = m_2 x$ and therefore m_2 divides m_1. Similarly, m_1 divides m_2, and so $m_1 = m_2$. Since $l_1/m_1 = l_2/m_2$, we have $l_1 = l_2$. In addition, the first from relations (8) gives the equality $b_2^{-p_1} a_2^{\varepsilon k_1} b_2^{p_1} = a_2^{k_2}$ (where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$), which by Proposition 1 (and with regard to positiveness of numbers k_1 and k_2) is valid if and only if either $k_1 = k_2$, or $p_1 \neq 0$, numbers k_1 and k_2 are divided by the greatest common divizor of l_1 and m_1 and $k_1/k_2 = \varepsilon(l_1/m_1)^{p_1}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $|r_1 r_2| > 1$, $m_1 > 1$ and $m_2 > 1$. Then $l_1 = l_2$, $m_1 = m_2$, m_1 divides numbers l_1, k_1 and k_2, integer $s = l_1/m_1$ is coprime to m_1 and quotient k_1/k_2 is s-number.*

Proof. Since endomorphism $\varphi\psi$ of group G_1 is special with exponent $r_1 r_2$, the item 2) of Proposition 5 imlies that m_1 must divide numbers l_1 and k_1. If $l_1 = m_1 s$, then by (11) we have $r_1 r_2 = s^p$ where $p > 0$. We claim that integers m_1 and s are coprime.

Since endomorphism $\varphi\psi$ is surjective, for some element $w \in G_1$ we must have $a_1 = w(\varphi\psi)$. If element w is written as a word $w(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ in generators of group G_1 we have the equality $a_1 = v(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ where the word

$$v(a_1, b_1, t_1) = w(a_1^{s^p}, b_1(\varphi\psi), t_1(\varphi\psi))$$

is obtained from word $w(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ by replacement of every generator by some word that is equal to $\varphi\psi$-image of this generator.

Let us calculate the exponent sum on a_1 in word $v(a_1, b_1, t_1)$. To do this we note, first of all, that by Proposition 4 we may assume that in the word representing element $b_1(\varphi\psi)$ exponent sum on a_1 is equal to zero. Next, the form of element $t_1(\varphi\psi)$ stated in Proposition 4 shows that exponent sum on t_1 in word $v(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ coincide with exponent sum on t_1 in word $w(a_1, b_1, t_1)$. On the other hand, the exponent sum on t_1 in any word which is equal to identity in group G_1 must be zero. Therefore, the equality $a_1 = v(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ implies that exponent sum on t_1 in word $v(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ and therefore in word $w(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ is equal to zero. Thus, the exponent sum on a_1 in word $v(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ is equal to ns^p, where n is the exponent sum on a_1 in word $w(a_1, b_1, t_1)$.

Since m_1 divides integers l_1 and k_1, the exponent sum on a_1 in defining relators of group G_1 and therefore in any word that equal to 1 is divided by m_1. Consequently, the equality $a_1 = v(a_1, b_1, t_1)$ implies the congruence $ns^p \equiv 1 \pmod{m_1}$ which, in turn, implies that integers m_1 and s are coprime.

The same arguments in connection with endomorphism $\psi\varphi$ of group G_2 (with regard to equality $l_1/m_1 = l_2/m_2$) give the equality $l_2 = m_2 s$ and coprimeness of integers m_2 and s.

The second from equalities (10) says that m_2 divides integer $r_1 m_1$. But since $r_1 r_2 = s^p$ the integer r_1 is an s-number and therefore is coprime to m_2. Consequently, m_2 divides m_1 and similarly m_1 divides m_2, hence we obtain $m_1 = m_2$ and $l_1 = l_2$.

Finally, since $l_1 = m_1 s$, the first from relations (8) and Proposition 1 imply $r_1 k_1 = s^{p_1} k_2$. Therefore, k_1/k_2 is an s-number and Lemma 3 is proved.

Lemma 4. *If one of the numbers m_1 and m_2 is equal to 1 then other is equal to 1 too. If $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ then $l_1 = l_2$ and k_1/k_2 an l_1-number.*

Suppose, on the contrary, that $m_1 > 1$ and $m_2 = 1$. Then by Lemma 2 $|r_1 r_2| > 1$ and therefore by Proposition 5 endomorphism $\varphi\psi$ of group G_1 is not injective.

On the other hand from (11) it follows that $r_1 r_2 = l_2^p$. Therefore the product of endomorphism $\psi\varphi$ and of inner automorphism of group G_2 generated by b_2^p fixed element a_2, i. e. this product is special endomorphism with exponent 1. Proposition 5 now implies that endomorphism $\psi\varphi$ is injective and hence with regard to surjectiveness ψ it follows injectiveness of mappings φ and ψ. So, the mapping $\varphi\psi$ is injective and this contradiction proves the first assertion of Lemma.

If now $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ then the equality $l_1 = l_2$ is evident. Since in that case the first of relations (8) is equivalent to equality $r_1 k_1 = l_1^{p_1} k_2$ and by (11) r_1 is l_1-number, the quotient k_1/k_2 is l_1-number and Lemma 4 is proved.

Now we can proceed directly to prove all assertions of Theorem.

Let the groups $G_1 = G(l_1, m_1, k_1)$ and $G_2 = G(l_2, m_2, k_2)$ be homomorphic images of each other. Then by Lemma 1 $l_1/m_1 = l_2/m_2$ and hence if $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ then $l_1 = l_2$. Otherwise, by Lemma 4 $m_1 > 1$ and $m_2 > 1$, and in this case assertion of item 1) follows from Lemmas 2 and 3.

To prove assertion of item 2) let us at first suppose that groups $G_1 = G(l, m, k_1)$ and $G_2 = G(l, m, k_2)$ are isomorphic. Let φ be isomorphism of group G_1 onto G_2 and ψ be isomorphism of group G_2 onto G_1. Without loss of generality it may be supposed that these mappings are of form indicated by Lemma 1. Let $k_1 \neq k_2$. If $m > 1$ then since the mapping $\varphi\psi$ is bejective it follows from Proposition 5 that $|r_1 r_2| = 1$. So, in this case the validity of assertion (2.2) follows from Lemma 2. The assertion (2.3) is contained in Lemma 4.

Conversely, let us suppose at first that the condition (2.2) is satisfied i. e. integers k_1 and k_2 are divided by the greatest common divizor d of l and m and for some $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and for some integer $p \neq 0$ the equation $k_1/k_2 = \varepsilon(l/m)^p$ holds. It is easily to see that for some integer x either $\varepsilon k_1 = l_1^p dx$ and $k_2 = m_1^p dx$ if $p > 0$ or $\varepsilon k_1 = m_1^{-p} dx$ and $k_2 = l_1^{-p} dx$ if $p < 0$ (where $l_1 = l/d$ and $m_1 = m/d$). It can be immediately verified (see, however, Proposition 1), that in any case in groups G_2 and G_1 the equalities $b_2^{-p} a_2^{\varepsilon k_1} b_2^p = a_2^{k_2}$ and $b_1^p a_1^{\varepsilon k_2} b_1^{-p} = a_1^{k_1}$ are satisfied. Therefore the mappings

$$a_1 \mapsto a_2^{\varepsilon},\ b_1 \mapsto b_2,\ t_1 \mapsto b_2^p t_2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 \mapsto a_1^{\varepsilon},\ b_2 \mapsto b_1,\ t_2 \mapsto b_1^{-p} t_1$$

define mutually inverse isomorphisms of group G_1 onto G_2 and of group G_2 onto G_1 respectively.

Let now the condition (2.3) be satisfied. Then for suitable integer l-numbers x and y the equality $xk_1 = yk_2$ holds. Let us choose an integer $p > 0$ such that $l^p = yz$ for some integer z. Then for $r = xz$ we have $rk_1 = l^p k_2$ and immediate verification shows that in group G_2 the equality $b_2^{-p} a_2^{rk_1} b_2^p = a_2^{k_2}$ is satisfied. Therefore the mapping $a_1 \mapsto a_2^r,\ b_1 \mapsto b_2,\ t_1 \mapsto b_2^p t_2$ defines homomorphism of group G_1 in group G_2. It is easily to see that since r is l-number this homomorphism is surjective. Similarly, there exists a surjective homomorphism of group G_2 onto G_1. Since by [3] these groups are Hopfian they are isomorphic. The proof of item 2) of Theorem is complete.

To prove the assertion of item 3) let us suppose at first that groups $G_1 = G(l, m, k_1)$ and $G_2 = G(l, m, k_2)$ are non-isomorphic and that $\varphi : G_1 \to G_2$ and

$\psi : G_2 \to G_1$ are surjective homomorphisms of the form indicated in Lemma 1. From Lemmas 2 and 4 and from assertions of item 2)proved above it follows that $|r_1 r_2| > 1$ and $m > 1$. Therefore the necessity of conditions in item 3) it follows from Lemma 3 and from assertion of item (2.2).

Conversely, let us show that if $l = ms$, integers m and s are coprime, m divides both integers k_1 and k_2 and $xk_1 = yk_2$ for some integer s-numbers x and y then groups G_1 and G_2 are homomorphic image of each other. Let the integer $p > 0$ be such that $s^p = yz$ for some integer z. Since then $k_1 r = s^p k_2$, where $r = xz$, and k_1 and k_2 are divided by m, in group G_2 the relation $b_2^{-p} a_2^{k_1 r} b_2^p = a_2^{k_2}$ holds. Consequently the mapping

$$a_1 \mapsto a_2^r,\ b_1 \mapsto b_2,\ t_1 \mapsto b_2^p t_2$$

defines homomorphism of group G_1 to group G_2. To see that this homomorphism is surjective it is enough to show that for any s-number r elements a_2^r and b_2 generate group H_2. Indeed, let n be the smallest positive s-number such that a^n is contained in subgroup of H_2 generated by these elements. Suppose that $n > 1$ and let q be the prime divizor of n. Then writing $n = n_1 q$ and $s = s_1 q$ we see that this subgroup contains element $b_2^{-1} a_2^{nms_1} b_2 = b_2^{-1} a_2^{n_1 ms} b_2 = a_2^{n_1 m}$ and therefore it contains element $a_2^{n_1}$. This contradiction means that $n = 1$ and our claim is proved. Similarly can be proved the existence of surjective homomorphism of group G_2 onto group G_1.

It remains to note that if, in addition, $m > 1$ and k_1/k_2 is not a power of $\pm s$, then by assertion (2.2) groups G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic.

The proof of Theorem is complete.

References

[1] Brunner A. M., *On a class of one–relator groups.* Can. J. Math. 1980. V. 32. N 2. P. 414–420.

[2] Baumslag G., *A noncyclic one-relator group all of whose finite quotients are cyclic.* J. Austral. Math. Soc. 1969. V. 10. N 3–4. P. 497–498.

[3] Kavutskii M. A., Moldavanskii D. I., *On certain class of one-relator groups.* in "Algebraic and Discrete Systems."Ivanovo State University. Ivanovo, 1988. p. 35–48. (Russian)

[4] Moldavanskii D. I., *Residuality a finite p-groups of HNN-extensions.* Vestnik of Ivanovo State University. 2000, Issue 3. p. 129–140. (Russian)

[5] Borschev A. V. *On the isomorphism problem for certain class of one-relator groups.* International Algebraic conference dedicated to the memory of D. K. Faddeev (St. Petersburg, Russia, 1997, June 24–30). Abstracts. St. Petersburg, 1997. p. 170–171. (Russian)

[6] *Kourovka Notebook. Unsolved questions in group theory.* 15-th ed. Novosibirsk, 2002.

[7] Lindon R., Schupp P. *Combinatorial Group Theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.